

The alleged independence

The tall of Western interventions in Syria since its independence until today

Dr. Kamal Al-Labwani

At the beginning of the emergence of America as a superpower after World War II, it was not looking at Syria using the eyes of Israel, but in the context of its search for areas of military and political influence and trade exchange in competition with Britain and France.

But after the 1967 war, America's position on Syria changed with its change in its position on Israel, and Israel's loyalty shifted from Europe to America...

When Henry Kissinger was Secretary of State in the seventies, he said (that American and Israeli interests in the Middle East are almost identical). This statement does not express the nature of politics as constantly changing, but rather an implicit American pledge to give Israel's interests priority, when it comes to the Middle East in general and in the countries of the Ring in particular, also this is what Ehud Barak asked when he was in a meeting with leaders in Europe, where he said to them (you do not have borders with Syria, we are the ones who have borders with it).

However, since the beginning of the twenty-first century, Israel has no longer enjoyed this full mandate from Europe, not even from America, as Israel did not find who listen to its objection about their policies towards Iran, when it expanded and surrounded it from several sides, and began to swallow the entire Middle East, which has lost its importance. For the Americans, this condition continued until the Russian war in Ukraine, which awakened in them new fears, and caused them to search for new alliances, ensuring that the Middle East would not get out of their control, which required a change in the attitudes of both Israel and the West towards regional issues and the way to deal with.

What is the story of these transformations and how will it be reflected on the Syrian issue?

Part One: The Unity between Syria and Egypt and the Baath Coup, period 1945-1970

We go back to the beginning, when the Second World War broke out between the most advanced European capitalist countries, the conflict for the colonies was its real cause. Every capitalism that cannot expand is suffocated, due to the nature of the expanded capitalist production, which aims for profit and the accumulation of capital, which requires increasing production, consumption and the growth of values continuously. So, Because Britain and France had controlled almost all the countries of the undeveloped world, Germany and Italy, along with Japan, which choked their national markets, were forced to attack those countries itself, in their own backyard, in order to force them to submit and give up their colonialism monopoly on the world. For this reason, America did not enter the war directly at the beginning, because it was not a colonial country at the time. Rather, it stipulated to enter the war on the side of Britain and France an end to the mandate system, which was the real cause of the war, so that the rising American capitalism towards the world can take its share of the world market and benefit from the unfair exchange with the south after the end of the war, and this, of course, satisfies the defeated industrial countries and eliminates hostility with them, and also satisfies Soviet Russia, which is hostile to

globalized capitalism (imperialism), as Lenin and Stalin call it, and demands the liberation of peoples from the domination of colonial imperialism.

Indeed, with the formation of the United Nations under the leadership and control, of the victorious countries in the war, it rushed to abolish the Mandate system, and to recognize the independence of the (formerly colonial) countries, but France refused to leave Syria, forcing the British army to enter Syria and forcing the French army to withdraw, after it began bombing the city of Damascus, which protested against him, and then France was forced to withdraw from Algeria as well, after the ground was ignited under her feet, in a war that was clearly supported by America through its proxies, so France and Britain still retain semi-colonial influence in Africa and in other regions until today, as America, Russia and others do .

Syria's independence was not the result of the people's revolution, as the ruling elites claim, but rather the result of the international conflict. Syria turned from an exclusive French-British concubine, to a prostitute who presents herself to every imperialist coming to the region. Independence was a generalization of the mandate of a state, opening the door to interference and manipulation of its destiny for all.

But the exit of the colonial armies, and the declaration of the independence of countries, was not enough to disengage the economies of those countries from the economies of the former colonizing countries, and therefore did not open their markets to American companies or to others as it should, so America made plans to overthrow the ruling systems in the important countries, and to come up with systems that adopt principles Socialism (state ownership of the means of production), that is, adopts the nationalization policies of all companies that are structurally related to the former colonial countries. Thus, America encouraged military coups in Egypt and Syria, and encouraged left-wing socialist thought and the public sector economy in most third world countries. With its support and sponsorship, the Free Officers were able to expel King Farouk, an ally of Britain and France in 1952, from ruling Egypt. Then, with the encouragement of America, Nasser made a break with the Muslim Brotherhood, Britain's new allies in 1954, the very long conflict between Muslim brother hood and the nationalist officer will represent the competition between USA an UK in some way till now, and then nationalized the Suez Canal in 1956, which resulted in a British tripartite aggression. A French-Israeli attack on Egypt. Soon, America and Russia intervened to stand up to him, protect the Nasser regime, and force the forces of the three countries to withdraw without conditions, and to accept the nationalization decision.

It was not long before Britain, with the help of France and Israel, tried to establish the Baghdad Pact, which brought together the most important Islamic countries in one crucible linked economically and politically in it (some Arab countries with Turkey, Iran and Pakistan). Here, the United States moved Syrian nationalist parties and Abdel Nasser together, to establish unity between Egypt and Syria at the beginning of 1958, with the aim of preventing Syria from joining the alliance that it was preparing for, and then encouraged Abdel Nasser to besiege and threaten Lebanon when Camille Chamoun was also preparing to join the alliance, at that time The United States mediated and persuaded Abdel Nasser to stop on the condition that Chamoun relinquish power to Fouad Shehab, who pledged not to join the alliance, which pleased the French at that time, and within months of the union between Syria and Egypt, the Egyptian intelligence, with the support of the Americans, overthrew the regime in Baghdad itself, the seat of Britain in the Middle East, and overthrew King Faisal II, his uncle Abd al-Ilah, and the first man of Iraq, Nuri al-Said, by

means of a group of free officers :Abdel Karim Qassem, Abdel Salam Aref and others organized themselves in the same way as the Free Officers in Egypt. Nevertheless, they were prevented from walking the path of joining the union with Syria and Egypt, especially since the unity of Syria and Egypt itself will collapse quickly after accomplishing its mission in thwarting the Baghdad Pact (the horse of Britain's return). The failure of the Baghdad Pact forced Britain to return to coordination with the United States and accept its sovereignty. The British-American rivalry did not last long, especially with the growing communist threat, which began to export its model and ideas to all parts of the world, including the Western countries themselves, and this increased the dependence of the West and Britain in particular on the organizations of political Islam as a means to stand up to him, and a tool of intimidation for socialist regimes inclined to alliance with the Soviets.

Despite the return of coordination between Britain and America, and after the American support for unity stopped, Britain arranged the separation plan between Syria and Egypt with a military coup as well in 1961, without serious American objection, or support for Abdel Nasser in using force to restore unity, but America did not delay in responding and returned to pay The National Socialist Ba'ath Party overthrew the coup and assumed power in Iraq and then a month later in Syria in 1963, and prevented them from rapprochement and unity, despite the similarity of their theories and structure, and prevented them from uniting with Egypt, despite the slogan of national unity they raised. Thus, the Baath Party came to carry out the tasks of nationalization that cut the ties of the Syrian economy with the French, and the Iraqi with the British, and the Ba'ath nationalized in Iraq the British oil companies, as Abdel Nasser nationalized the Suez Canal, and the Ba'ath in Syria, especially the Salah Jadid wing, implemented the economic policies that America wanted from him. He nationalized the entire large private industrial establishments, dispersed the economic class linked to Europe, eliminated its influence and confiscated its wealth.

Baath Party socialism was not the result of a Syrian nationalist class and ideology, but rather was a tool for cutting the link between the economies of those countries and the former colonizer, and the destruction of the capitalist industrial class was the desired goal, from which the new rulers, separated from the people and production, would benefit, who would turn into a greedy military parasite to swallow Society is tyrannized by it. This process has distorted the natural growth of society and the economy, and replaced it with a distorted development that lacks a plan and goals. Rather, it turns the entire society into wreckage and chaos that will find its way to express itself in the failure and civil wars that those countries will inevitably and collectively face.

But the outbreak of a war in 1967 between Israel and the Arabs, with British and French encouragement and support as well, to strike at the regimes of Syria and Egypt that nationalized their interests in the region, would not have bothered America much, after it extended its influence in the region and with Israel as well, which prompted Salah Jadeed and Abdel Nasser to increase reliance On Russia and the Communist camp, when America did not meet their demands to buy effective weapons capable of defeating the Israeli army, which made America think about replacing its players in the region, thus, after the black September events in Jordan, Nasser was abruptly deported to his final resting place, exchanged by his deputy, Anwar Sadat, who understood the message and rushed to cut his relations with the Russians, and also at the same time, power in Syria was transferred from Salah Jadid to Hafez Assad by a coordinated plan between Britain and America, where Hafez is considered Assad is close to the British, while Salah Jadid is close to the American (here we mean the corrective movement in 16 Nov 1970, as a process of receiving and

handing over between American and British agents of Syrian army officers), especially when Salah Jadid's wing adopted the principle of the People's Liberation War, which was the reason for his isolation and imprisonment. The 1967 war opened America's eyes to Israel's strength and role, as well as opened for Israel the gates of transformation from the British-French embrace, whose capabilities had been proven to decline, to the rising American embrace in the world, which became the master of the Western world. Indeed, Israel was afraid that America would put pressure on it to withdraw from the lands it occupied, but America linked this to peace treaties.

Here and in this context (i.e. the new alliance between Israel and America with the consent and coordination with France and Britain) Henry Kissinger's talk comes exactly in place when he was engineering the separation of forces agreements after America engineered the play of the October war, the aim of which was to move the peace process and establish lasting peace between the Arabs and Israel, so it is the American goal that will continue until now, as America sponsored the Camp David agreement, Wadi Araba, Oslo, the recent Brahimi agreement, and Israeli-Syrian negotiations several times reached the agreement several times, but Syria refused to sign it at the last moment, twice with Hafez and once with Bashar.

Despite the prior understanding on the size and type of military operations proposed in the scenario of the October 1973 war, which was designed to give a symbolic and moral victory to the Arabs by which they justify adopting the option of peace and recognizing the State of Israel, that it declared over the 1948 territories, the players departed a little from the roles set for them militarily, which widened the circle of the war, forced America to support a major Israeli breach towards Damascus and another towards Cairo, which brought the players back to the script written by America. Thus, Sadat literally adhered to this scenario and went to visit Jerusalem and signed the Camp David agreement. King Hussein followed him by signing the Wadi Araba agreement, while Yasser Arafat hesitated and did not accept it until after his forced exiting from Lebanon in cooperation and coordination between the Syrian army and the Israeli army, with American coordination and sponsorship, so he signed the Oslo Accord with Rabin later, however, the Oslo Accord collapsed due to the behavior of Hamas, which was in harmony with the rejectionist Israeli right wing, which would later assassinate Rabin himself. As for Hafez Assad, he was fully committed to the agreements of separation of forces and the cease-fire, and refrained from responding to any Israeli aggression, at the same time prevented from signing a peace treaty several times at the last moments, when he was asking for American guarantees to keep him and his children in power after him, as he stated in his meeting with Bill Clinton in Geneva in 1999, a year and a half before his death.

Part two : Hafez Assad supermarket (the period between 1970-2000)

Hafez Assad was not the American man, but the British intelligence man, whom America was forced to accept instead of its National Socialist man, Salah Jadid, who escaped from its restrictions and controls after the disastrous shock of the 1967 war. Salah did not expect in 1970 that the Americans would have secretly abandoned him, After ordering the entry of the Syrian army to Jordan to help the Fatah organization, while the intervention of the Syrian army was stopped by the Minister of Defense Hafez Assad at the request of Britain, which also sponsors the regime of King Hussein, He knows Hafez's western connection, but he was under his wing, and thus Hafez was rewarded by handing him over to power. Thus,

with great ease, and without any significant resistance, the entire civilian leadership of the Ba'ath Party was arrested and imprisoned, who arranged the change of allegiance of all the units surrounding Damascus, that its loyalty was sure to Salah? In order to arrest its leaders, including the President of the Republic, Salah stayed in prison awaiting the intervention of America to get him out, until he rotted and then was killed with a special poison on August 19, 1993,

Britain had recruited Hafez since he was a student officer at the Air War College, taking advantage of the Masonic networks that are linked to the Makhoul family, especially when he married Anisa, and developed the relationship with his fellows, and nurtured his promotion, then participated with Salah and others in forming the Military Committee under the supervision of America, during their service in Egypt (the days of unity), in preparation for their extradition power later in Syria, but he was not a strong horse that outperformed Salah Jadid, who remained in his custody until the orders came to him for the coup, while France recruited Mustafa Tlas by Business men, who also tried to get close to the Russians. And the British relied on Ali Doba, while Muhammad al-Khouli ensured that he communicates with the Israeli Khouli is a Damascus's family was Josh before, who is very close to Hafez, and thus the rise of Hafez and his team expressed a balance between the five countries and the satisfaction of all of them, which gave Hafez a wide margin of maneuver between the interests of those countries that were not identical. Hafez did not forget to establish relations also with the Soviet Russians, with the Gulf states, and at the same time with Iran, and this is the reason for the stability of his rule despite the storms that swept him.

The first task that Hafez had to carry out was to obtain legitimacy as an elected president in order to be qualified to sign peace with Israel. As soon as this was done, preparations were completed to present the play of the October war on the Middle East theater, in which he participated with Sadat's Egypt. Also, Arab units from Morocco and Iraq participated, to be a comprehensive Arab victory that would pave the way for comprehensive peace, but that war ended in a new reprehensible defeat. The Arabs insisted that it was a great victory, to justify their going to negotiate collectively, and the signing of the permanent separation of forces agreement under the supervision of the United Nations and which has been in place until now, with what this means to end the state of war definitively.

Here, Sadat, with American help, tried to turn it into a permanent peace in exchange for the land, in implementation of Security Council resolutions 242 and 338. Here, Yasser Arafat hesitated, and Hafez Assad was afraid, so Sadat pursued alone and signed the Camp David agreement, which Syria and most Arab countries objected to. During that period, Hafez's good relations with the Americans no longer existed, USA bet a lot on the achievement of a complete and comprehensive peace in the region, which has become all revolve in their orbit. The opportunity to improve the relationship between Hafez al-Assad and America came when his forces were assigned to enter Lebanon and prevent the Palestinians from controlling it. Thus, Abdel Halim Khaddam signed on Assad's behalf with the Israeli delegation an agreement to share influence in Lebanon, which has a civil war been burning since 1975 in which the Lebanese and Palestinians stood against the Christians, whom almost lost all of Lebanon and were confined to a small area, but Hafez Assad after he killed the commander of the joint forces The Druze leader, Kamal Jumblatt, who besieged the Palestinian presence in the south and the camps, did not go to the end in his fight with the Palestinians, forcing Israel to invade in 1982, which almost developed into a direct clash between the Syrian army and the Israeli army, which ended with the expulsion of Yasser Arafat to Tunisia, and

Bashir Gemayel was installed as President of Lebanon, and who sought to sign the May Agreement, which he had negotiated to demarcate the borders and establish peace with Israel after its withdrawal. This did not satisfy Hafez al-Assad, who did not receive the price of his services in Lebanon, so he assassinated President Bashir Ibn Pierre Gemayel, the leader of the Kataeb Party and the Lebanese Forces (its military wing). which would be considered a painful blow to the French influence in Lebanon. And he installed his brother Amin instead of him after he understood the message, Hafez was striving to make the Lebanese and Palestinian cards in his own hands, in order to negotiate with them together., and thus Hafez no longer accepts to leave Lebanon, rather, he seeks to impose his full hegemony on him, which will lead him into a conflict with the French, who accused him of kidnapping the hostages and bombing French planes, including university professors in Lebanon and journalists, including the Lockerbie plane.

All of them are valid charges carried out by Hafez through his agents, which will push them to send revenge bombs that will explode in Damascus at the traffic slight of Azbakaya half a minute before it reaches its target, i.e. Sabeh Bahrat Square, where the government and the old party leadership are, in 1981, a year and a half before his assassination of Bashir, Hafez's adherence to Lebanon benefited the Americans, as the French considered that he had presented Lebanon on a silver platter to the Americans, so the Americans rushed to form a joint coordination relationship between its embassy in Awkar and Anjar, where the new military ruler of Lebanon, Brigadier Ghazi Kanaan, is based, which was transferred to Lebanon after it actively participated in the Hama massacre in 1982, the massacre that the regime committed in the context of its struggle with the fighting group of the Muslim Brotherhood and backed by Saddam Hussein, which led to the killing of 43,000 civilians and the arrest of a similar number, only half of them left after 13 years, and destruction Half of the city, in light of a terrible media blackout by everyone, and I remember that the BBC radio mentioned it once and then remained silent for a whole month to return and broadcast the news of the end of the unrest. That massacre did not receive any demands for investigation, even by human rights organizations, which underestimated the issue and reduced the number of victims to less than 5% in a way that confirms the extent of compatibility and international support for the regime of Hafez al-Assad at that time. At that time, Saddam was preoccupied with his war with Khomeini's Iran, which lasted from 1980 until 1988. In which Assad stood against Saddam and closed the borders completely with Iraq, and even provided aid to the Dawa Party to carry out assassinations and bombings in Baghdad. This rupture was also part of the American strategy drawn up for its players.

After Yasser Arafat's departure from Beirut, pressures began to expel Syria as well, whose mission had ended, and multinational forces were sent to Beirut to maintain peace, install the regime, and fill the vacuum after the departure of the Syrian army. Yasser Arafat secretly returned to Tripoli a year after his departure from Beirut, which is not under the control of the Syrian army, and allied with Sheikh Saeed Shaaban, the leader of the Islamic Unification Movement(al-tahed al- islami), and here Hafez Assad decided to move and arranged the bombing of the headquarters of the Marines and French forces in Beirut, after which the French forces withdrew and the United States, left Lebanon to be eaten by Syria, so, the Syrian army launched a very large operation on Tripoli in the fall of 1983, applying the same strategy in storming Hama. But two weeks after the start of the attack, Hafez was poisoned with radioactive materials believed to be by international intelligence, perhaps the Russian one, which was very upset by Hafez's behavior against its allies in Lebanon, the

Palestinian revolution, the Communist Party, the Socialist Party, and all the left. The French intelligence may have been behind poisoning process, which was also disturbed by its destruction of their influence there. Bashir was killed and then the units of the French and American army were hit, especially since it was, they who encouraged Rifaat to take power after Hafez was ill with poison and his unconsciousness. Hafez came out exhausted after a month, and the dose he received was not enough to kill him, rather it caused him a permanent disability in the bone marrow and blood generation, from which he will suffer until he dies. Thus, Hafez expelled his brother Rifaat from Syria, with the help of the Russians, in the person of Heydar Aliyev, who attended with a large delegation of doctors to treat Hafez after it became clear that the alternative was Rifaat, who was confronted by a number of army officers who were trained in Russia, led by Ali Haidar, Ali Al-Saleh and Ali Aslan, and then Aliyev directed the Rifaat leaving with a delegation to Russia to find solution there, where he will not be allowed to return, who will find a stable in France and will later receive a knighthood from President Francois Mitterrand. He will stay there until 2021, when the intelligence services will arrange for him to escape from the execution of a judicial ruling, and to return to Syria secretly and arrange for him to reside there until death and burial, in order to bury its secrets with him.

In the spring of 1986, the Syrian Air Force Intelligence placed a bomb in the bag of an Israeli passenger who had been approached by the security diplomat, Nizar Al-Hindawi, and he gave her that bag before she returned to Israel on board the Elial flight. Brigadier General Muhammad al-Khouli informed Israel about it before the plane took off, and the Syrian regime considered that as a warning and responded about hijacking of Abdullah al-Ahmar's plane from the Mediterranean airspace, while it was returning from a conference in Libya. on that day the Israeli believed that Yasser Arafat was on board Al-Ahmar's plane, but the fox Arafat changed his mind before boarding the plane and rode towards the Gulf with another Arab delegation.

Britain was very upset about playing on its lands and threatening the security of its airports, the British police tried to arrest al-Hindawi, so he took refuge in the Syrian embassy, and thus a diplomatic crisis erupted between Syria and Britain for the first time, which ended with the imprisonment of al-Hindawi for decades, and the removal of Muhammad al-Khouli and stripping him of all his powers.

Syria has become increasingly accused of supporting and perpetrating terrorism. What is meant here by terrorism is the Palestinian organizations that reject peace and the Lebanese Hezbollah, which began its operations in the south, imitating the National and Communist Party, to pressure the Israeli forces to withdraw from southern Lebanon, where they remained, and with the fall of the socialist system and the disintegration of The Soviet Union in 1989 Assad became isolated and weak, losing the margin of maneuver,

the end of the Cold War was announced by the Malta meeting between Gorbachev and George Bush Sr. in the same year, after it the negotiations of the Taif Agreement were launched among the Lebanese, which was imposed on everyone, and assigned, so Rafic Hariri has employed as its executive director, with wide international support, but the one who obstructed its implementation was General Aoun, who took over the presidency of Lebanon by proxy from Amin Gemayel, whose term of office expired without electing a replacement president. He handed over power to him after Aoun formed a military government under his leadership that Amin agreed it last day before the end of his term, with planning and French instructions, and Aoun at that time was receiving support from Saddam as well, Hafez's opponent coming Here, Hafez took his advantage of Saddam's

invasion of Kuwait in the summer of 1990 to restore his relations with the West, and he was stipulated to participate in the war to liberate Kuwait, that he eliminate Aoun, which was what happened to him. Thus, after a difficult negotiation with James Baker, America released Hafez's hand to eliminate Aoun's French Christian authority. And that was a strong blow to the French that they will not forget. Syria participated in the war to liberate Kuwait, and relations with the Americans and the West returned to normal. Hafez, after his control over Lebanon, sought to bequeath his son Basil, who was killed in a planned accident on the airport road in the beginning of 1994, and his mastermind was not known, but Suspicions go to Western intelligence, Which did not guarantee its ability to control him, especially since he had built distinguished relations with former Nazi officers in Austria who helped him deposit his wealth there, which was estimated when he was killed at 13 billion dollars, The regime was linked to them through former officers who disappeared after the World War, including Officer Alois Brunner, who moved from Franco's protection to Assad's Syria, or perhaps as a French revenge against Hafez for what he did with France in Lebanon, i.e. destroying Aoun's authority. Also, as a means of pressure on Hafez to sign the peace agreement, which he frequently evaded, contrary to what he promised before taking power. Hafez did not have a son besides him to inherit, as the rest had many health and mental defects. The back of the international agent was broken by his operators when he tried to play for him, and in the remaining period after his son's death he suffered from illness, isolation and withdrawal, so he strengthened his relations with Islamic organizations, and with Iran, but this time not on the grounds of hostility to Saddam, but on the grounds of hostility to the West. And he planned to have a deterrent balance with the West to ensure the existence of his regime, as he is the real architect of the Syrian-Iranian nuclear project, which decided to build his base far away in Tehran as peaceful reactors, and his military summit secretly in Syria after he learned the lesson from the Iraq reactor. He justified cutting the oil resources as being in safe hands, and justified his failure to respond to the Israeli attacks by saying that a strategic balance must be achieved first (what connects them, which is his attempt to possess a nuclear weapon capable of deterring aggression and giving immunity to the regime, and he brought Russian nuclear expertise to carry out the peaceful base in Iran, Then North Korean experiences to complete the military section, which would have been completed, had it not been for Israel's attack on it (I mean the Al-Kibar reactor) near Deir ez-Zor.

Starting with the murder of his son, he secretly restored his relationship with Saddam, and his Republican Guard arranged the storming of the American embassy in Damascus in 1995, adjacent to his palace in Al-Muhajireen, in response to the bombing of Baghdad itself, to which he had already sent Explosive bomb decade ago. Hafez cooperated and encouraged all enemies of America, including the Lebanese Hezbollah, which had previously targeted the Marines and French forces in Beirut, in coordination with it and with Iran, and he will do more operations against USA troops in the Gulf ,

He used all pressure tools on the West, but to no avail. Bill Clinton failed in 1999 to persuade him to sign a peace agreement that the negotiations had reached, in which the entire Syrian Golan and the 1967 borders would be restored without access to the waters of Lake Tiberias, which has shrunk greatly since that time due to drought. However, Hafez stipulated that he and his children would remain in power, because what was required of him on that day was to reduce the number of the army, cancel the state of emergency, and hold satisfactory elections to elect a popular assembly to ratify the agreement . Israel does not sign with a ruling sect, but with the state and the majority, Hafez was aware that such

would mean the end of his corrupt sectarian rule, which relied on the Alawite sect and oppression. Thus, Hafez was left to die on his bed in 2000, without naming his son, who was not his actual son, as is widely rumored in the family, but France installed him with American blessing and British silence at a time when Russia had not regained its ability to move abroad.

Part Three: Bashar failed to wear his father's shoes (the period from 2000-2011)

Gaullist French President Jacques Chirac bet on Bashar al-Assad, and considered that his adoption of him and his help in ascending the throne of the Syrian Republic, after his father, which was a precedent for which France deserves to be praised, and a smart way to transfer Syria from the hands of Britain and America to the hand of France again, so he rushed very far in his supportive policy To adopt Bashar and legislate his inheritance to his father in a republican system, Chirac, as described by François Mitterrand (he goes very quickly, but before determining the direction) ... Bashar had an honorary protocol visit to the Elysée before the death of his father, and Sheikh Rafik Hariri (Mr. Lebanon) the Prime Minister of Lebanon paved the way for that, he was the very Personal friend of Jacques Chirac. The one who was trying a lot to win Hafez's affection in order to continue as Prime Minister of Lebanon, and to ensure the cooperation of Abdel Halim Khaddam and Ghazi Kanaan with him in it.

Since he came to power under the personal patronage of Jacques Chirac, Bashar did not understand the meaning of the American statements that blessed the smooth transition of power in Syria. When Madeleine Olbert attended the burial ceremony, she met Bashar and alerted him to the American red lines, including the continuation of closing the Iraqi oil pipeline, closing the borders, cutting relations with Iraq, stopping support for terrorist organizations, and any anti-Israel activity from its lands.

The Americans and British watched with sarcasm the scene of the hasty French bet, and linked any improvement in relations with Bashar to the extent of his commitment to their red lines, which he crossed all without knowing, and with a remarkable foolishness that characterized Bashar's period. He quickly updated the oil pipeline, and strengthened it by building a new line, because Saddam was giving him a share A large amount of oil crossed it to sell for his account as Syrian oil, then opened the borders to smuggle what Iraq wanted, besieged by the Americans, in exchange for free oil that Iraq was pumping into the pipeline.

This did not anger the French, who also want Saddam to continue as long as he disagrees with America. Prior to the war, Saddam helped transfer his biological weapons to Syria before Iraq allowed the International Investigation Committee to inspect, to return them to him later. He did not, but rather kept them in special warehouses near Nasiriyah on Homs Road. Therefore, the Bush administration did not find these weapons after the occupation of Iraq, as it claimed, and the Obama administration did not want to reveal them later, despite being informed by the dissident officers, for reasons related to the conflict between the two parties. At that time, France was also supporting Saddam at a time when Russia was weak, and refused to support the American invasion of Iraq and threatened to use its veto in the Security Council.

France welcomed Bashar in the summer of 2001 on the stage of honor on the Champs-Élysées as a very special guest of Jacques Chirac, immediately after he had completely abandoned his promises of reform, and closed the forums and newspapers he had previously allowed, and after his bloated return from Paris he suppressed what remained of

the Damascus Spring movement. And he began arresting activists there, at the beginning of September 2001, and I am one of them ... I remember answering the question of the French Channel Three, which met us in Damascus during the preparation for the visit (What do you expect the future of Syria after the arrival of this young president) Answering was shocking, (the future of Syria is Somali, Syria is going to civil war). Bashar arrested us in the beginning of September 2001, declaring his complete reversal of all his reform promises, which accompanied the process of his succession and used to legitimize it, France and Europe, the inheritance that took place hastily after the constitution was amended to allow him to run to suit his age 34 years instead of 40, and in a hurry and without being submitted to a referendum. And after he was promoted by five military ranks in one leap at the hands of servants under French instructions as well, and in arrangement with the army and security officers, he was supervised by Mustafa Tlass, a friend of France, so that Bashar became the leader of the army, the party, and the country. However, he failed to wear his father's shoes. As described by Time journalist Joe Klein, who met him in March 2005, after he met me in Damascus one day, and asked me who killed Hariri, I told him that day that of course the Syrian regime, but with logistical help from Hezbollah, tomorrow you will shake hands with the killer.

The golden opportunity for Bashar came when Al-Qaeda carried out the New York-Washington operation on the morning of Tuesday 11/9/2001, that is, three days after our arrest, which shook the entity of America and the West, and radically changed its strategy, which had become its first priority to fight Islamic terrorism. Bashar quickly joined the anti-terrorist alliance after he was accused of supporting it. He escaped the promised international pressures to release us. He offered to present his regime's extensive experiences with terrorism. Thus, Western pressures evaporated, and he regained his importance as an important player in the region, and his history and actions were forgotten, as long as he was involved in the arrest and torture of Islamists in his prisons instead of Western prisons (The story of the Syrian-Canadian Maher Arar exposed this). Even Jacques Chirac, who invited him to attend the Francophone Conference as a guest of honor in Lebanon in the fall of 2002, He shamelessly raised the issue of detainees with him, saying that France expects him to release the detainees of the Damascus Spring, especially Riyad al-Turk, leaving him the opportunity to disavow and release only Riyad, which is what happened, Riyad, who enjoys old relations with the French Socialist Party (which the Communist Party had previously joined). Today he resides in France. And he was the good father of Razan Zaitoneh, which was working with the France in suburb Damas.

This change in strategy (the priority of fighting terrorism) will have a significant impact on the West's position in the Middle East for more than two decades, covering the entire period of Bashar's rule, and will enhance the American-Russian understanding across the world, which is based on the existence of a third common enemy, which is Islam, which is what it will exacerbate the region's crises, rather it will destroy many of its countries and expose them to huge disasters, as happened in Iraq and Syria later. This consensus, nor that order of priorities will not change until the outbreak of the Ukraine war, which moved Russia back as enemy number one to the West, and reclassified the Arab and Islamic countries as a friend that must be won, not an enemy to be dismantled and destroyed.

Because of Bashar's apparent loyalty to the French and Chirac's staunch defense of him, Britain insisted on marrying him to its British agent of Syrian origin, Asma al-Akhras, as a guarantee for the continuation of the Assad regime's attachment to it in the footsteps of his father, she is the one who will run Assad's Syria, but from bed, Britain rejected Mustafa Tlas'

proposals to marry others, as well as the proposals of the Alawite sect. Bashar is known to those who associate with him that he is hesitant and weak in character, and need a permanent guardianship. Thus, Syria became a kingdom with a French king and a British queen.

With Bashar's arrival in the presidency and his stability in power, the role of the old guard ended and they began to leave, each to the state operating him, Hikmat Al-Shihabi left for America, Ali Doba resigned and his wealth transferred to Britain, and Abdel Halim Khaddam, then Mustafa Tlas, moved to reside in France alongside Rifaat Al-Assad Palace and Rafiq Hariri Palace in the richest neighborhoods of Paris in the vicinity of the Arc de Triomphe (Létoile), while France sought to please America in order to rely on Ali Habib as Minister of Defense, who strengthened his relationship with the Americans during the Kuwait War, However, with the presence of Assef Shawkat in Military Intelligence, who was recruited by France in the days when he was a personal escort to Bushra al-Assad there At the suggestion of a special administrative team sent by Chirac to reform the structure of the regime, Iran did not allow that , which had greatly increased its influence in Syria after Hafez's death, , and contrary to the advice of Muhammad Nassif to Bashar, it appointed Ghazi Kanaan as a powerless interior minister, instead of uniting the security services led by him, It seemed clearer that Iran is controlling Bashar, not France, Britain, or even America. With the departure of Ghazi Kanaan from Lebanon and Rostom Ghazaleh took over. Hariri did not become free as he had planned, but became practically without support and at the mercy of Iran, which took control of Rostom, Lahoud who began a policy of restricting him to the point where the relationship between them soured. Here, after Chirac insisted requesting licenses to explore for oil and gas in the Mediterranean for the French company Total, and on that day, new studies had predicted the existence of large quantities of gas, Bashar entrusted the exploration operations to the Americans instead of France, and thus France decided to expel Syria from Lebanon, and began to pressure to issue Security Council Resolution 1559, which was passed when Assad insisted on extending Lahoud exceptionally outside the constitution in 2004, After that decision was issued asking the Syrian army to withdraw, Rafik Hariri was summoned to Damascus to listen to angry threats from Bashar, and after that information was received about preparing for his assassination, It appears to have originated from Gazi Canaan, and here the US administration sent the international envoy Terry Red-Larsen to warn Bashar of the consequences of that operation that would lead to an international confrontation, however Iran insisted on proceeding with the implementation of the operation, only 3 days after Larsen's return with firm assurances. The last part of the implementation was in the hands of Hezbollah only, after the preparation and planning of the operation took place in full coordination with the Syrian regime.

Jacques Chirac went crazy and the Security Council resolutions accelerated, and an international investigation team was assigned to conduct the investigations despite the involvement of government agencies in suppressing the evidence. The series of assassinations, with the participation of Hezbollah, affected most of its opponents without fear or apprehension. With the assassination of Rafiq, the intensity of pressure on Bashar's regime increased, to the point of the American threat to bomb his regime, which it reached from Hosni Mubarak, Who advised him to avoid it by announcing a quick withdrawal from Lebanon, which began only two weeks after the assassination, under international and Western media oversight, and this was in the interest of Hezbollah, which would control the Lebanese state, and then the Syrian state itself after the revolution... Ghazi Kanaan hinted that he wanted to present his testimony, but outside Syria, to the investigator Detlev

Miletus. Thus, the investigator tried to transfer the investigation abroad, but Syria did not agree before Ghazi was liquidated with a bullet in the back of his head, in the fall of 2005.

Ghazi was aspiring to remove Assad through investigation and take over power in his place, and thus America decided, with French and Israeli consent, to remove Bashar's regime from Syria, at the beginning of 2006. At that time, I was in Washington discussing the issue of the transitional authority in Syria with the administration of George Bush at that time, and according to Sharon's opinion, Bashar will not sign peace. However, the new Israeli Prime Minister, Ehud Olmert, who took over the government after Sharon's coma, suddenly and strangely objected, as he adopted the Labor Party's view on the possibility of peace with the Assad regime, so he urgently traveled to America when Rumsfeld informed him of the operation. He stopped the operation and demanded to give one last chance to sign peace with Bashar, before creating chaos and changes in Syria that are not guaranteed results for Israel. Israel had completely withdrawn from southern Lebanon in conjunction with the death of Hafez Assad in 2000, but in mid-2006 it moved again to strike Hezbollah in Lebanon in order to impose an internationally guarded buffer zone for a distance of 10 km, France mediated to stop the war after a shell fell on a UN tent in Qana, so Turkey, Qatar and France worked together to reduce tension and hold peace negotiations between Israel and Syria after the war. After Sarkozy came to power in place of Chirac, the French position, which was largely personal towards Bashar, changed. Sarkozy took a step towards rapprochement with Assad, and renewed relations with him. However, what he offered was not enough. Where al-Assad demanded that pressure be lifted from him and the abolition of the Hariri tribunal in exchange for proceeding with negotiations, and this was actually done in a brazen and open manner, as the investigation team was changed, evidence was distorted and the regime acquitted, then the accusation was placed against low-ranking persons in Hezbollah, and Saad Hariri was forced to reconcile with al-Assad, his father's killer, generosity to the eyes of Israel and the signing of peace with it. On that day, the preparations for the restoration of relations with Lebanon took place with an initiative commissioned by intellectuals from both countries, which expressed itself under the title of the Beirut-Damascus Declaration. However, Siniora's refusal to visit Damascus at the last moment made the regime arrest them and deny standing behind this initiative, and Siniora was hastily replaced by Saad so that he would give up his father's blood in exchange for taking over the position of the prime minister (Daolat al-raïs) .

In return for assuming the position of President, Bashar did not sign the peace agreement that Walid al-Moallem had reached its final form in long negotiations in Washington with the Israeli delegation, because Bashar was certain that his signature would be the end of his regime. Like his father, he demanded guarantees of his survival in power, so the level of threats that Sarkozy, Mubarak, Hamad and Erdogan conveyed to him rose again, so he decided, with the advice of Muammar Gaddafi, to reduce pressure by leaking information about his nuclear program transmitted to him by Asef Shawkat, and so on a visit to Britain by Muhammad Suleiman, in which he claimed that he had been spied on his computer, the Al-Khobar reactor was revealed, that reactor works with heavy water to convert depleted uranium in Iran's peaceful reactors into explosive plutonium, from which tactical nuclear bombs are made, Which Syria and Iran had started building after it was expelled from Lebanon, and which was targeted by air on September 5, 2007.

And when Lahoud's extension term expired on November 23, 2007, Bashar and Hezbollah obstructed the election of a new president, then America put into effect a plan to replace him with an internal coup again, to isolate Bashar and take over another figure from the

regime in his place, then form a transitional authority with the participation of the opposition, and that is an internal coup that participates. It contains a group of symbols of power, as it was planned in 2005. The day I was there, the Syrian opposition, the owner of the Damascus Declaration project, which calls for a transitional period of 3 years, met in Damascus with the protection of the military intelligence of Asef Shawkat, under the title of forming an executive body for the Damascus Declaration at the end of 2007, but the State Security Intelligence arrested them, which prompted the senator (at that time) Kerry to come and ask for their release from Bashar, who promised him as he stated in a press conference he held at the airport. Then Bashar retreated after Kerry traveled under pressure from Iran, and a statement was issued from the Foreign Ministry that Syria does not discuss internal matters with anyone, and the activists of the Damascus Declaration were arrested and the American plan failed again. To ease the pressure on him once again, he facilitated the assassination of Imad Mughniyeh in the security square in Damascus on February 12, 2008, by a special team coming from Erbil. He was accompanied by Qassem Soleimani, so the team waited until he moved away, which was coordinated by Assef Shawkat. When Iran revealed his involvement, Asef took refuge in the Military Security branch in Aleppo, then traveled to Turkey and returned from it with a settlement deal sponsored by Erdogan, in which he was removed from the intelligence and given the status of a staff advisor, practically stripped of his powers, then Iran liquidated Muhammad Suleiman by a special assassination squad affiliated with Maher, because he was the coordinator of those operations with the West, on August 2, 2008, in a chalet on the Tartous Sea. At the same time that Bashar was boarding his plane to visit Tehran, he received the news when he landed. And his message was enough to frighten Bashar and make him absolutely obedient to the Iranian instructions thereafter.

Before the verdict was issued against the activists of the Damascus Declaration, four American helicopters landed on a village on the border with Iraq and arrested a number of organizers of sending car bombs to Iraq. This was a message of threat to the regime, which reduced the sentence from seven years to thirty months on the morning of the sentencing session. According to Lawyers close to Tawfiq Al-Hallaq, a judge head of the First Criminal Court in Damascus, that on October 29, 2008.

Syria was immersed in sending fighters to Iraq after gathering them from all sides in Syria. And supplied them with car bombs that slew the Iraqis to the point of destroying four ministries in one day. It prompted Nuri al-Maliki to submit an urgent complaint to the Security Council against Syria, accusing it of working to destroy the Iraqi state. According to the security coordination between America and Syria, it was supposed to arrest the mujahideen in Syria, Or report them when they enter, and this is what Asef was doing, but other parties were insisting on sending them with their equipment, and thus a disobedience was created in Sednaya prison, which is an almost impossible process according to the design of that prison, to liquidate some of them and cover up the escape of others, with an arrangement from Iran and Maher.

France did not want America to tighten its grip on Lebanon, so it always intervened to protect Hezbollah, which is affiliated with Iran, the enemy of America. Its presence automatically reduces the influence of its rival America in a country that France has long considered its daughter, and it is the one who instructed a section of Christians in Lebanon to cooperate with him, led by General Michel Aoun and Suleiman Franjeh, the grandson. In order to prevent Hariri and the March 14 group from imposing their control on their own under the flag of loyalty to America through Saudi Arabia, which will pay dearly for Saad

Hariri and Lebanon later on. Until now, France still refuses to put Hezbollah on the list of terrorist organizations, and insists on protecting it internationally, and locally. The Lebanese army should cooperate with him.

After several bickering, obstruction and security frictions with Hezbollah elements, Hezbollah was able to bring down the government of Saad Hariri and replace it with a prime minister loyal to him and to Syria again, Najib Mikati.

And Hezbollah became the supreme commandant in Lebanon, and the most important influence in Syria, even over the Syrian president, who practically came out of the control and patronage of the West, and the West did nothing about that due to the conflict between Western countries over the resources of the region, especially France with Germany against America. In view of their agreement on the security of Israel only, Bashar has remained as long as he maintains it until today. Also Hassan Nasrallah, who also take care about that, threaten without action.

Saad resigned, his team was displaced and his allies were suppressed, and the Syrian opposition entered prisons, so, this is a natural fate for everyone who ally with America, but Bashar remained in power despite not signing the peace, for a simple reason that he knows that keeping the Golan in the hands of Israel guarding its borders, is the price of his father's access to power and a sufficient price For him to remain in power, especially since the consent of Israel was sufficient to obtain the consent of the rest, as long as it was empowered to make decisions regarding the countries of the ring, as we indicated in the introduction.

The Syrian front has remained completely calm since 1974, while the Lebanese front has sometimes been used as a message box between Syria and Israel and then between Iran and Israel . After Hezbollah owned all of Lebanon, it switched to using Gaza, and it is now planning to use southern Syria in the same way, in order to protect its kingdoms from Israeli targeting in Lebanon. Hassan Nasrallah is the real disciple of Hafez Assad, while Bashar is his foolish heir.

Part IV: a murderer by abstaining , west and Syrian Revolution, period 2011-2022:

At this stage, we will be satisfied with the general outlines without entering into the details related to the events, because their characters are still alive and they have a role to play, and because this will cause great controversy and divisions, which is not the time for now, as it will require a large number of videos, and a great work to verify and document the details, and this must be done by the youth of the revolution, but we will build a map of situations and events, so that each event can be put in its place and its logical context within the general lines.

At 2011, The whole world was surprised by the revolutions of the Arab Spring. In the first, France sided with Zine El Abidine Ben Ali, but soon backtracked and decided to wait and watch to assess the situation. After the hordes of rebels invaded the capital, Tunis, and the president fled, the West stood by, preparing reports and conducting studies, when the protests were expanding and spreading. Like wildfire, the Egyptian army was tasked with hastily to take over power, to bow before the storm and isolate Mubarak, as an offering to stop the protests waiting for a way out, Only the Arab Gulf states that received the ousted President Ben Ali moved quickly, when their Yemen and Syria caught fire, and quickly realized the coming danger to their regimes, so they rushed to support Ali Abdullah Saleh and Bashar al-Assad to stay and stand firm in the face of the protests secretly, with a public

stance. Supporter of revolutions, for fear of appearing on the side of the losers, not the winners, it was keen to appear unconcerned with the spring, but rather supported it as it showed deception to absorb the anger of its people. The media exchanges with the Assad regime were nothing more than throwing dust in the eyes, under which the many messages of support and reassurances that reached Bashar and demanded his steadfastness were hidden.

Especially when he asked to leave Syria and seek refuge there, and he did that at least three times in the first years. Neither Iran nor Hezbollah expected Bashar's steadfastness, they hesitated to intervene directly until they saw the green signals from Arab countries and foreign countries. They initially may have sent teams of snipers who worked with Military Intelligence to implement the same scenario of suppressing the demonstrations in Tehran,

Where it kills demonstrators and kills the army and security at the same time, that scenario succeeded in Iranian cities with civil society, but it gave the opposite effect in the Syrian neighborhoods and countryside with a strong traditional structure.

The regime hesitated to use the army, and only when Ali Habib, Minister of Defense, who facilitated the French and American ambassadors to enter Hama and see the situation on the ground, was dismissed, did the regime begin to issue orders to the army to support security even from afar, as defections abounded in it, and it did not use all kinds of weapons, especially the air force. Only after several gradual tests of the Americans' reactions, When the regime realized that the West was silent about suppressing the demonstrations, it launched its repressive machine with its full capabilities, which Asif expressed when he told his soldiers when storming the neighborhoods of Homs (everything was allowed except for pity).

The West in general realized that it neglected many internal factors during its previous policies, and did not appreciate well the possibilities offered by modern social media in organizing the masses outside the control of security, and was very afraid of the collapse of the borders of the countries that it drew, and the emergence of a unified economic, political, military force in the south of the Mediterranean that would trouble its sovereignty, so the west loosened the ropes for the Gulf countries to do what they wanted, and decided to manage the crisis from behind and in a way that ensures his safety. The Gulf countries relied on a basic strategy, which is to transform revolutions against tyranny into revolutions against secularism. The republics are savage because they turned away from religion, and because the Gulf states derive the legitimacy of their rule from Islam, they saw that replacing secular national tyranny to religious rule, and democratic revolution to religious revolution, is the best option in front of them, especially since they originally adopted it in their systems of rule, so they supported mainly the great Islamic discourse and Islamic movements, and adopted the Muslim Brotherhood as a horse and address for that purpose, the first funds were sent in abundance to the revolutionaries on the ground through the organizations of political Islam, the Brotherhood, Liberation and the Salafists and informally, but with the knowledge and encouragement of the governments of countries, With the exception of Qatar, which declared this clearly, Western countries did not object supporting the Islamic transformation of the Arab Spring, despite its grave danger, with its strategy of fighting the terrorism , and with the aim of absorbing the impulse of the masses and pushing them into the internal struggle between democratic, Islamic and nationalist, and for the ease of provoking disputes between the princes of the takfiri jihadist factions that begin to expiate some of them, if the revolutions were limited to On democratic slogans, when they could not be divided and multiplied with each other, A study distributed by British

intelligence says that the Arab Spring is of an Islamic character, so the moderate and disciplined Muslim Brotherhood organization that we know well is the one who should lead it. This is what led the Brotherhood to rule Tunisia and Egypt even though they were not at the beginning of the revolutions. Perhaps Britain here has come back to think of regaining its influence, but it pushes USA to use its exhausting strategy.

The regime worked to label the revolutionaries as terrorism, then released many Islamists and secured access to the leaders of the fighting groups with Gulf support and coordinated military facilities in which the army abandons sites and weapons stores for certain groups that come forward to confront it, in clear coordination between the regime and the intelligence of countries that apparently support the revolutionaries. But the crisis cell detonation incident came from outside the context of coordination between the international intelligence services, which has been continuing so far between all countries with the regime and its allies under the title of security cooperation, and that incident neutralized a relatively large number of agents of an equal number of international security services, so far they have not Announcing the results of the investigations related to the incident because it came from the initiative of conscripts assigned to guard the building, They are still under the surveillance of the intelligence of neighboring countries to which they fled, and they coordinated with a small group of revolutionaries and obtained hand-made equipment from them, but it was enough to violently shake the regime, that shock led to the loss of many sites, including the fall of all border points, on that day Bashar sought asylum in the golf , which asked him to wait and promised him support, which required cooperation together to send Mujahideen from Al-Qaeda, who would infiltrate the body of the revolution and turn it into terrorist organizations, under the broad title of Jabhat Al-Nusra, which announced itself with qualitative operations inside the security square, to give the impression that the one who is carrying out the dangerous operations, and who will bring down the regime, is the Al-Qaeda organization and not the revolutionaries, and this was done in coordination with the Arab intelligence that recruited many agents during the war in Iraq,

At their head was Abu Muhammad al-Golan, who works with golf intelligence in Iraq, and who will announce his allegiance to al-Qaeda later, which will continue until now without being targeted by any group or army operating in the Syrian arena. The most safe man in Syria now is him , because he classified as Al- Qaeda terrorist , his life is very necessary to Bashar staying in the power , and the strategy continuity , Al-Julani's survival is the title of Bashar's survival, and a sufficient justification to perpetuate the war in Syria, pending the exhaustion of the two parties, and the growth of the alternative expected to be born also from the womb of security understandings that will not happen but will collapse later to international war.

The West was divided into two parts, one of whom believed that terrorism was against them as a result of backwardness, ignorance and poverty resulting from tyranny and oppression supported by the West, and the war against it required democratic transformation and development.

The other sees it as formative in the identity of the peoples of the region and in the essence of the Islamic religion, and the war against it will be by destroying those countries and identities. West Countries hesitated in taking the decision to support Democratic change, then gradually decided in 2012 working on the second option, especially when Islamic political thought achieved a remarkable supremacy in the street and in the arenas of confrontation, a position that Ambassador Ford summarized in his briefing before Congress

(in Syria, al-Qaeda is fighting al-Qaeda). which abandoned its civilized and human role and returned to the Crusader mind buried in it, The American policy that was formulated and adopted by Obama in 2012 wanted to use revolutions as a means of attrition to political Islam, within its policies that focus on the war against terrorism first and second, and it is a fixed and declared policy by America in all its administrations until now, At the same time, the secular and Islamic tyrannical regimes alike, which they adopted when they have aged and the time has come to replace them, are draining away, and crises will inevitably spread to their interior and disintegrate them, in preparation for society in order to establish new paths that break with the past and identity. That called creative chaos.

France hastened to intervene militarily to remove Gaddafi as a bait in Libya's oil, who deliberately disturbed and sometimes humiliated her, and helped her opponents in all Africa (the King of Kings of Africa), and this position of NATO encouraged the Syrian people to leave, but France did not apply the same standards to Syria, which was Hatred against the Syrian regime, which wanted to at least exhaust, but not in favor of the Islamists, France cooperated with Turkey, Saudi Arabia and Qatar to manage the war in Syria, while America's behavior governed the strategy of understanding with the Russians and the strategy of the war on terrorism, without breaking with the option of supporting democracy, but in the end far as a comprehensive and radical solution, But it requires draining the forces of extremism first. In order for countries not to engage in direct wars against the regimes, they are then forced to present authority to the Mujahideen, as happened with them in Iraq, which they were forced to outsource to Iran as a way out of its predicament, and in order for the regimes to continue to be able to fight the Mujahideen, they justified the regimes' use of all kinds of weapons except chemical????? allowed it to import the Iranian support required for its survival, and it is also required to drain it in the conflicts of the region, and to use it as an ally on the ground that undermines the Sunni armed Islamic groups and consumes its capabilities in it.

As for the continuation of this war for a sufficient period to achieve its goals, it required the survival of those regimes and not allowing a military settlement for any party. Thus, the Geneva 1 statement was designed as the first expression of Russian-American understandings on Syria, which will be followed by the design of a path to a solution that has no chance of success, but it is appropriate to cover the time period What is required for war is the opposition's acceptance of engaging in this illogical path without limitations or guarantees. It calls for a reformulation and composition of multiple within its ranks, to exclude the rejectionists, and to bring in agents cut off from the people and belonging to their own interests, carrying out what is required of them by His Excellency the Ambassador or His Highness the Emir. Or even just a Turkish or Saudi security employee.

Bashar's regime delusion that he still enjoys the love and support of the West for the blue of his eyes and his wife's skirt, and he believed himself when he called the revolution a terrorist label. Forget totally what he has been doing since the beginning of the uprising.

Or this is how the Islamic party saw the scene from its point of view. Indeed, this is how it appears to the observer from the people, and it is a theory very prevalent today among all the victims of this conflict who sacrificed everything and failed to achieve anything, also the result is that they are the biggest loser, the only one. There is no supporter for them except the God.

All of the events that happened can be explained according to this theory and this analysis:

- Providing support and assistance to the regimes, and encouraging them to use the maximum amount of violence, preventing them from making concessions, and preventing them from fleeing abroad. When Lakhdar Brahimi mediated to solve the Syrian issue as a UN mediator, after Kofi Anan resignation, he was easily able to persuade al-Assad to step down and leave, but regional countries intervened with al-Assad and warned him against accepting, because he is going to the international court, which made Al-Ibrahimi submit his resignation after promising an immediate solution to the crisis. That time the Friends of Syria team gathered us in a hurry and asked us to prepare to take power at the end of 2012 in London at Wilton House, without mentioning the mechanism of the fall of that regime...

Directing support only to Islamic groups, then preventing the formation of a strong opposition body that holds money and weapons and leads operations, was a goal that countries were keen to achieve and insisted on despite our warnings, warnings, protests and resignations. On the contrary, the opposition accused for that, it was using it to cover up its intentions and interventions.

- The provision of support by the Gulf states to extremist Islamic groups linked to terrorism in the eyes of the Americans cannot be explained without the approval of America, which wanted this to drain the forces of extremism in preparation for the establishment of democratic systems, according to its point of view.

The import of the Mujahideen from all countries of the world, especially Europe, was with the knowledge and facilitation of all European countries, which will seek to kill their citizens on the land of attrition, and then prevent their return even after they have become captives of the SDF,

- Hezbollah and Iran's entry into the war and committing crimes on Bashar's side were not without Western approval and encouragement and in full coordination with the West. It was embodied in the cooperation of the Americans and Qassem Soleimani in Iraq and Syria, until he was killed because of his visit to Gaza and the smuggling of Strela anti-aircraft missiles to it. Gaza, still rising his pictures, as a hero.

Committing the most heinous crimes against the people of a sectarian nature was necessary to mobilize the zeal of the Mujahideen to support the people of the Levant in the face of Shiite sectarian violence, and to justify the fatwas of jihad against the Nusayris and the Magi (Alawi and Iranian Shiite) .

- Providing assistance to ISIS, Al-Nusra and other jihad organizations, and leaving horrendous amounts of weapons and money to fall into their hands in Iraq and Syria, was also part of the plan in which the regime and Iran engaged together, to achieve a common goal of attaching the accusation of terrorism to the revolution, and then eliminating the revolution by allowing the regime to triumph over it.

Engineering the process of separating foreign fighters and Syrians by means of the fabricated dispute between ISIS and Al-Nusra, was to facilitate the process of eliminating the florigens by separating them from the Syrian social society.

- Protecting and caring for al-Julani and keeping him and not targeting him by any party at all, including the regime, Russia, Iran, America and Turkey is the biggest evidence of their agreement on the necessity of terrorism as a name given to the opposition,

Al-Julani's announcement of his association with al-Qaeda was aimed at attracting secret al-Qaeda operatives, which was reinforced by his exchange of messages with al-Zawahiri, who is residing in Iran,

- The announcement of the establishment of the Islamic caliphate was to inflame the enthusiasm of the believers who live in the glorious past psychologically and physically.

As for providing limited, framed and deliberate support to the revolutionaries, while depriving them of qualitative weapons and anti-aircraft guns, it was to maintain the balance necessary for the continuation and expansion of the war,

- Preventing them and thwarting any effort to form joint commands to coordinate military action was a constant goal of the MOK and MOM Chambers, which wanted to control the pace of battles and draw the lines of the fronts.
- The silence about the path of de-escalation in Sochi, which contributed to the defeat of the factions and the separation of the militants, and then their deportation to Idlib, is suspicious.

Withdrawing chemical weapons from the regime's hands was also to prevent it from resolving the battle, and to avoid its spread and falling into the hands of Islamic movements, especially 200 tons of (Vx) , which is highly toxic, which enables it to terrorize the entire world.

- Collecting files and documents about the regime's crimes, preparing and concealing them, was to preserve the ability to bring it down with a shot of mercy after the end of its role. Punishing the regime for violating its commitments remained postponed for a later time following the end of the period of attrition, which prolonged due to the steadfastness and stubbornness of the Syrian people.

Accepting the entry of Russia to support the alliance of the regime and Iran has facilitated and accelerated the process of eliminating the extremist organizations that became the body of the revolution after the displacement of the population, and which they were unable to defeat on their own, without significant air support from Russia funded by the Gulf states. Which still till the war in Europe start with Russia.

- The West's satisfaction and not being disturbed by the Russian-Chinese veto, which was used more than 15 times to protect the Assad regime, was necessary to absolve the West itself of its humanitarian and international responsibilities. Rather, it was part of the consensus with the Russians.

the use of war crimes files as a means to end the regime, is a weapon that was prepared and its implementation postponed for the appropriate time. In the end, the weapon to bring down the regime is available and easy, it is its crimes, but the weapon to destroy extremist organizations is expensive and requires a long, dirty war that affects civilians. The regime and the militias of Iran and Russia volunteered to do it on behalf and serve for the strategy of America and the West. Via the Sochi route .

- Europe's welcome of refugees in the beginning was the result of their knowledge of intentions and plans that would destroy Syria.

The hegemony of an opportunistic and venal opposition was a goal of the American and Western strategy and the regional countries alike, and the insistence on preventing any real representation of the people.

All the frustrations that the Syrian people felt and made them self-flagellate, those who contributed to them and prevented them from being overcome, can be found in the strategies of the countries that called themselves the friends of Syria, and in contrast to what they did, at least from the point of view of the victims of the war and the true revolutionaries.

The attitude of the Arab countries desiring normalization with al-Assad has accurately expressed their pre-existing and very old intention to inject the spirit of despair into the Arab peoples, and to intimidate them from trying to change.

The tragedy of the Syrian people, even its massacre, was a lesson for the rest of the peoples to prevent them from even thinking about rebellion.

Yes, tyranny has won, but the world has collapsed towards war and ruin. When the international system was disrupted, and when criminals realized that they were exempt from accountability, which encouraged many to commit more crimes against peoples.

In the end, any Western politician justifies his country's position that it is not responsible for the civil war in which Syrians are killing each other. On the contrary, their countries have provided humanitarian aid and hosted refugees, and they adhere to Security Council resolutions, and the right of the Syrian people to a system that represents them, which is a convincing public discourse, had it not been for the knowledge that it was. It is possible to prevent all that war, with a firm and responsible attitude from the American president, which is what John McCain told me, he said if I were the president of a great country, I would not allow a dictator to kill his people, and I have the most powerful army in the world, my responsibility is to preserve world order, and my duty is to defend my values in freedom and democracy.

Yes, it was enough from the beginning if American battleships had moved off the Syrian coast to force Assad to leave. Indeed, he had fallen and decided to leave several times, but he was prevented, apparently because the desire to build Syria after its destruction was the most appropriate and guaranteed option for the process of regime change in the colonial countries. Which became a must. Ignorance, backwardness, malice and hatred accumulated in the components of society when it was led by corrupt tyrannical regimes, and after a dirty civil war, and thus increased the disgust of the West, which preoccupied those regimes and ran those wars, and those countries became mere ruins... What they did in Syria was not to keep Assad, but to burn the country which Assad considered it his private farm, in the end Assad stayed and the country burned.

At the beginning of this year 2022, the understandings of Malta between Russia and America collapsed, and war broke out on the world level between East and West. Western policies, which will change their priority from the war on terrorism, to the war on the Russian-Chinese threat, and from supporting tyrannical regimes that naturally tend to alliance With Russia and receiving support from China, have changed and will change greatly to ally with the democratic regimes that share their values and systems with the West, so the Arab and Islamic world (a quarter of the world) will be the weight-bearing egg in this conflict, and here comes the historical opportunity to search for a place and a role, after the terrible retreat during two decades of the policy of the war on terror. Which became stronger, more violent and more radical. It was a war against peoples, societies and moderates, nothing else.

As for the Syrians, the first enemy of the West today is Russia and Iran, which dissolve Syria, and with them the Syrian regime, which hastened to support the Russian aggression and recognized the secession of the occupied territories from Ukraine, showing a high degree of hostility and provocation to the West, and thus the interests of the Syrian revolution coincide with the interests of the West, Israel and the Arabs, Clouds are formed heralding rain that quenches the thirst of the Syrians, who have reached a state of despair after all the killing, displacement and betrayal they have been subjected to.

The Gulf states used to provide ten billion dollars annually to support the Islamic movements in the rebellious countries to protect their regimes. Yes, the door is now open for the victory of the cause of the Syrian people with Western support, when the Syrian cause is employed in the context of the war with Russia and China, without being a vehicle for terrorism, which naturally tends to ally with the East, as Iran and its militias do, and tyrannical Arab regimes find in Putin their model and role model.

This requires restoring life to a society on the verge of death, a process that requires great self-effort and a serious external position. I think it is an opportunity that must be seized. Instead of slandering oneself and incriminating others, who, despite their truly unfortunate previous position, can be allies for the future, the truth must triumph, but its path is very long and tortuous, and it may pass through defeat and despair stations before that... Glory to the changer of conditions.

If you watch a child move towards falling off the roof, and you do not rush to save him, the judge will consider you a murderer by abstaining, and this applies to what happened in Syria.

It is a coordinated intelligence work to defend the West from the attacks of Islamic terrorism according to their considerations, which can only be eradicated by another terrorism practiced by the regimes with which they must confront on their land, which is called the policy of preemptive attrition.

Some say that it was a conspiracy, and every intelligence work is a conspiratorial act by its nature, so, let him call it a conspiracy whoever they loves, or let him call it a legitimate policy of self-defense, but anyway it is definitely based on a fundamental moral defect which is the value differentiation between the victims according to their position out or in the West, that controls the world.

There is no doubt that there were other ways to fight terrorism, that do not pass through the slaughter of the Syrian people. This position was expressed by the American Chief of Staff, who resigned 2012, with the justification that his conscience could not bear to leave the Syrian people under death for ten years. Also There are other ways to fight Putin than to slaughter Ukraine, but the capitalist West may still see money as more valuable than people. His inability to do anything humane, always justifies by the lack of budget.